

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,090	01/08/2002	Olga Bandman	PA-0028 US	7255
27904 7	7590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
INCYTE CORPORATION (formerly known as Incyte			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
Genomics, Inc. 3160 PORTER	,	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/044,090	BANDMAN, OLGA			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		John S. Brusca	1631			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or eion Papers	election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		miner			
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,				
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National	Stage		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			l application).		
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 10/044,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-9 drawn to a combination of cDNAs and their methods of usc, classified in class 536, subclass 24.
- 2. Claims 10-13, drawn to cDNAs, vectors and host cells comprising the cDNAs, and their methods of use, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - 3. Claim 14, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - 4. Claims 15 and 16, drawn to a protein binding assay, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
  - Claim 17, drawn to a method of eliciting an antibody in an animal and
     purification of an antibody from antisera, classified in class 424 subclass 185.1.
  - 6. Claims 18-20, drawn to antibodies and their method of use, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions 1, 2, 3, and 6 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different molecules or combinations of molecules with different structures and different biological properties.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,090

Art Unit: 1631

3. Inventions 1 and inventions 4, and 5 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the cDNA array is not made or used in the methods of inventions 4, or 5.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions 2 and inventions 4 and 5 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the cDNA of invention 2 is not made or used in the methods of inventions 4 and 5.
- 5. Inventions 4, and 5 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the methods of inventions 4 and 5 comprise different steps and produce different results.
- 6. Inventions 3 and inventions 4 and 5 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of invention 3 could be used in either of the methods of inventions 4 or 5.
- 7. Inventions 4 and 6 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the protein binding assay of invention 6 does not require the antibodics of invention 6.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

8. Inventions 5 and 6 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the antibodies of invention 6 could be made by monoclonal cell culture.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

In group 1 the species are species of ligand (claim 9). Claims 1-8 are generic.

In group 4, the species are species of ligand (claim 16). Claim 15 is generic.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 1631

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## 11. Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. For Group 1 drawn to combinations of cDNAs, the Applicants must elect a single combination of cDNAs. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants must elect one nucleic acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to antibodies, the Applicants must elect a single sequence of antigen.

Examination will be restricted to only the elected sequence.

12. A telephone call was made to Lynn Murry on 21 October 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 703 308-4231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703 308-4025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

John S. Brusca Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

jsb